Chair Kaitlyn Vallance wishes to broadly discuss the year-long backlog of Governance items before beginning our edits. She begins her report.

48 Hour Rule -
- Julia and Liam agree Director of Business Services has to be the one who brings up, can be brought forth to DBS from anyone from Finance Committee
- Kaitlyn thinks Senate Chair needs to approve any 48 hour rule things being added before 5 PM Thursday
- C.J. wishes to cap requested amounts at 1% of the total budget they are seeking $$ from
- All agree same item cannot be brought up twice under the 48 Hour Rule in the same semester. This makes sense because if the money was not needed urgently enough the first time to provide individuals time to come back through, they should come back through Senate after attending finance and putting in a request (normal mechanism).
- All agree individuals need to bring forth same materials one would bring to a Finance Committee meeting

Leadership Fund (proposed by Lauren Griffin)
- conference funds for IFC, Panhellenic and RSA to have guaranteed money to supplement their budgets
- $1500 for Panhell/IFC, $1000 for RSA
- Kaitlyn asks where this money would come from? – Finance??
- C.J. echoes this.
- Lauren states she would ask Budget to figure out where to take it out from.
- Kaitlyn think it’s strange this process would only be checked by DBS – have Budget Committee meet to speak about it?
- Lauren expresses this process is meant to be expedient, not similar to finance requests
- Lauren says she hopes to have RSA/Panhellenic/IFC to tie back what they are learning and how it will improve the campus – General Leadership Fund
- C.J. hopes DBS and Budget would give recommendations to Senate similarly to Finance during weekly meetings
Union Board (submitted by Union Board and Kyle Chong [previous chair of Union Board])
- Group decides to postpone this discussion until we have all read the complete document submitted to Governance from Kyle Chong and review all the changes in depth for better discussion.

Electronic Voting
- Julia thinks committees should not be allowed to even meet if members are not physically there
- Liam affirms there should be no emails, no Google Docs, no chat software allowed to hold discussion or vote
- C.J. thinks committees must be on campus and, if an open committee, must meet in a space which is accessible for all
- C.J. also thinks committees must meet at business hours, unless this requirement is waived by 2/3 vote
- Kaitlyn thinks members of the committee may be counted present via Skype/webcam software if the use of Skype/webcam software was approved at previous meeting by a 2/3 vote

Proxies
- From her experience as Chair, Kaitlyn wants 24 hours approval of a proxy and the individual being proxied to
- Julia thinks proxies should be verbally confirmed during the reports/roll call

Committee Appointments
- C.J. thinks senate must confirm all Senate Standing Appointments
- Liam agrees that all nominations should be made and brought before Senate within 2 weeks of Senate confirmations, so all the students-at-large are chosen at once.
- C.J. wants committee appointments to be approved individually by a simple majority
Amendments and Voting Record:

Vote to approve item 1-001:

Committee Selection Article II, Section 5 of the ASUPS By-Laws;
The previous section shall be replaced in its entirety by:

Section 5. Committee Appointments
A. All student-at-large members of Standing Committees of the Senate shall be confirmed by the Senate prior to sitting on any such Standing Committee.
B. The President and/or Vice-President shall, respectively, within two weeks of the confirmation of Senators following a General Election, and as from time to time vacancies may require, the names of individuals nominated for appointment to Standing Committees of the Senate.
C. The Senate shall vote by simple majority to confirm or reject the nomination of individual students-at-large for appointment to a Standing Committee of the Senate.
   i. The Senate shall not vote on nominations as a slate.
   ii. All confirmation votes shall be for a single individual nominated, and not for several.
D. Students-at-large duly confirmed to sit on any Standing Committee of the Senate are subject to removal as stated in Article IV, Section 1, Subsection G.

Yes: 4
No: 0
Abstain: 0

Item passes.

C.J. moves to create a sub-committee for the examination and amendment of Executive Duties.
   - Liam Seconds

Item 1-002: Creation of the Governance Sub-Committee for the Examination and Amendment of Executive Duties:
This committee will be formed of Conner Sabin(Student at Large), Julia Lin (Student at Large), Liam Donnelly (Student at Large), Kaitlyn Vallance (Chair), CJ Quierolo (Senior Senator) and any interested senators/ students at large as they express interest.
This committee will be disbanded upon completion of these tasks:
   1. A forum discussing the various duties held by the Vice President and the rest of the Executive Board open to the student body.
   2. Presentation of a detailed evaluation and review of the various Executive positions.
   3. The amending of any powers as seen fit by Governance Committee subcommittee.
4. Thoughtful analysis of the Director of Student Interest position.

Yes: 4  
No: 0  
Abstain: 0

Item passes.

C.J motions to provide a recommendation to ASUPS Senate to suspend the by-laws so we can discuss business during informal without the week-long wait.

- Julia seconds.

**Item #1-003: Recommendation to ASUPS Student Senate to Suspend Article VI, Section 3 of the ASUPS By-Laws**

  Governance Committee hereby recommends ASUPS Student Senate suspend Article VI, Section 3 of the ASUPS By-Laws in order to begin discussion and voting on any proposed amendments.

Yes: 4  
No: 0  
Abstain: 0

Item passes.

Meeting adjourns at 9:30 PM